

Being Permanently Beta: The Iterative Nature of Computational Tools in Big Data Science Tracey Hartsock, Brett Robertson, and Kerk Kee COM-491: Spring 2014, Chapman University; Orange, California

Introduction

This project focuses on the overlapping and recursive relationship between technology development and use, based on the case of computational tools in big-data science. It elaborates on the key activities of these tools and how they are used and implemented by researchers in computational and data-enabled science and engineering. This use and development relationship is a ceaseless test-cycle until the prototype computational tool is mature enough to leave the incubator. Through analyzing 25 semi-structured interviews, the importance of understanding this overlapping and recursive relationship is illustrated through several main themes: the initial need, feedback, and redevelopment of tools through interactive communication between user and developer. This study strives to offer practical strategies and best practices that can be helpful for developers and users of computational tools.

Literature Review

The inquiry of computational tool use and development is rapidly distinguishing the processes of cyberinfrastructure and virtual organization. Communication between developer and user of computation tools proves to be an external analysis as "organizational structure and form are contingent upon factors not inherent to the organization" (Leonardi, 2009). Use and development of the tool encompass a broad range of factors including "discovering the needs of their researchers, setting priorities for support, developing support strategies, funding and implementing cyberinfrastructure, and building partnerships to enhance research support" (Agee, 2010). These factors encompass the steps of initial need, feedback, and redevelopment which are recursive as "research needs must be an ongoing process, not a one-time exercise" (Agee, 2010). Through the steps of computational tool use and development, one can distinguish the steps as successful as they provide "reproducible results, usable and useful, and can be easily maintained and updated" (Baxter, 2006).

Methodology

This poster employed the grounded theory approach (Corbin & Strauss, 1990) and analyzed 25 interviews conducted with domain scientists (in bioinformatics, computational chemistry, theoretical physics, etc.) and computational technologists. Interview participants came from across the US (including CA, IL, IN, SC, MI, TX, etc.) and three from the UK (specifically Scotland). Interviews range from 16 minutes to 2:25 hours, with 10 conducted in person at the Supercomputing 2013 conference in Denver, and 15 over the phone, between Nov 2013 and April 2014. Guided by the stated research question, the co-authors performed multiple iterations of data analysis and literature integration, yielding preliminary findings presented in this poster.

Findings: Part 1

Throughout the coding process, three common themes were found within

Initial Needs

A marketplace must exist prior to the development because the tool must have problem to solve. However, the need for a tool is forever-changing, including tool type, users, and how the tool will be used. Assessing these initial needs is key.

- "...even before the information gathering, there has to be... a time when you sort of catch the net looking for... what's out there [and] what do people need." (Institute Administrator, IL, November 18, 2013)
- "Sometimes you start off with the users, and then you understand what it is that they need, or sometimes you look for a gap in the market, maybe in functionality or in a way of doing things, and then look for the users who will help refine that." (Physicist & Institute Administrator, IL, November 18, 2013) "...[B]uilding it around the use case and I really
- think it is important in most cases to have that, without a good use case or user community to drive development of the software or platform, it is really easy to get into the... theoretical,... especially when people driving it are computer scientists and developers." (Theoretical Particle Physics Research Scientist, CA, March 19, 2014)
- Conclusion

Based on the analysis of interviews, we concluded that the development of computational tools for big data science involves assessing users' initial needs, receiving feedback, and engage in iterative redevelopment. First, before a tool can be developed, used, and tested, there has to be a preexisting problem the development of the tool will solve. The "marketplace" as interviewees called it, is the place in which the initial need is identified. From that market, developers worked with users to distinguish a tool that would meet the initial need. Second, any type of development feedback is key to help developers perfect their tool. Third, computational tools are often in a beta-phase, developed, re-developed, and re-tested. Although this three-step sequence appear linear at first glance. Future research will explore how communication between user and developer is not always as effective and efficient as expected.

References

Agee, A. et al. (2010). Building Research Cyberinfrastructure at Small/Medium Research Institutions. Educause Review Online. 1-16. Baxter, S. et al. (2006). Scientific Software Development Is Not an Oxymoron. PLoS Computational Biology. 975-978. Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (1990). Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. Qualitative Sociology, 13(1), 3-21 Leonardi, P. (2009). Crossing the Implementation Line: The Mutual Constitution of Technology and Organizing Across Development and Use Activities. Communication Theory, 278–310

Interactive and user in a beta-Feedback tested rep

- "So there the use tools are specifica hypothes impleme correct. Novemb "But they
- back to think it s So that architect Administ

ive Re-Development

pment of tool and how it is user and developer together. part from the fact they might not get lso this secondary benefit where et you might once you see the tool ece of paper all sort of specked out. ight actually have further ideas. it so you might actually end up with nstitute Administrator, UK, 2013)

ve had just develops them further... ocess of testing it and optimizing it, etter. Every little bit is like...a on so with every approach or result have to optimize it and hone it, so finished tool" (Media Studies ay 1, 2014)

they will – we wouldn't know that at 'e'd need this, but after while you - maybe this is the way to do it – seem to be working –so then we go on it and estimate when the need ate Student in Computer Science, IL 2013)